Fine-scale spatial and stock variations among juvenile Chinook salmon and steelhead in the Columbia River plume: May 2015

Cheryl A. Morgan¹, Brian R. Beckman², Brian J. Burke², Laurie A. Weitkamp³, Jessica A. Miller⁴, Kym C. Jacobson³, Elizabeth A. Daly⁴, Donald M. Van Doornik^{5,}, and Kurt L. Fresh²

¹ Cooperative Institute for Marine Resources Studies, Oregon State University, Newport, OR
^{2-3, 5} NOAA Fisheries Service, Northwest Fisheries Science Center, Seattle, WA, Newport, OR & Manchester, WA
⁴ Oregon State University, Fisheries & Wildlife, Newport, OR

Financial support by BPA and NOAA Fisheries

WA & OR Juvenile Salmon Surveys

- Previous May Surveys (1999, 2006 2012) 4
- In 2015, we re-invented our May survey
 - Focus on assessing juveniles from Interior Columbia River stocks soon after they emigrated from the Columbia River Estuary and while they were still within the plume.



Take Home

• We saw fine-scale spatial differences in biological attributes for Chinook

• But not for steelhead!

• Short term growth varies by steelhead stock

May 2015 Sampling Locations



Two parts to this talk

- 1. Chinook
- 2. Steelhead

Chinook Focus Stocks



May 2015 Chinook Catches by Stock



May 24 - 27, 2015

Chinook Length- Varies by Stock



Frequency

Brian Burke ran a bunch of models We'll show plots for the important variables

Model Variables

	Area	Mar_Sig	Stock	Length	Haul	Wild	Tide	Time	Stage	Day
Length	Х	Х	Х			Х	Х	Х		
Condition	X	X	X	X	X					
IGF	X	X	X			X			X	
Stom Empty				X	X		X			
Stom NoEmpty %BW	X						X	X		
H/W	X	X	X	X						

Site was important for Chinook



Fish longer at site A



Higher proportion with estuary/ocean signal at site A



Fish with estuary/ocean signal are longer



Chinook IGF

Large IGF range in all stocks



Higher IGF at site A



Higher IGF with estuary/ocean signal



Chinook Condition

Higher condition at site A





Chinook Stomach Fullness

Higher stomach fullness at site A



No difference in stomach fullness with estuary/ocean signal



Marked vs Unmarked Chinook



Chinook summary

With only 4 days and in a small area we saw differences by area and estuary/ocean signal!

- Length
- IGF
- Condition
- Stomach fullness

Chinook summary

Fish with estuary signal.

- More of these type of fish at site A.
- Fish longer at A and in better condition then at B.
- Growing better at A
- Are these fish mostly hatchery fish.

Steelhead

Steelhead Stocks



Steelhead Catch By Stock

May 2015 Steelhead Catches by Stock



Steelhead Length

Steelhead length varies by stock



Steelhead lengths similar between sites

lower river stock is shorter



Steelhead IGF

Steelhead IGF similar between sites

higher for lower river stocks



Does marine migration behavior explain differences we found



Marked vs Unmarked Steelhead



Steelhead Length by Stock and Marked Status



Steelhead IGF by Stock and Marked Status

Take Home

• We saw fine-scale spatial differences in biological attributes for Chinook

• But not for steelhead!

• IGF varies by steelhead stock

Implications

- We saw fine-scale spatial differences in biological attributes for Chinook
 - Survival may be set a small spatial scales and really soon after ocean entry
 - Implications for sampling design?
- But not for steelhead!
 - Steelhead behavior is different
 - Survival implications?
- IGF varies by steelhead stock
 - Steelhead behavior differs by stock
 - > LCR, smaller, grow better.
 - Do LCR stocks have longer coastal residence times?

We are repeating our effort in 2016 Stay Tuned