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## Research Objectives

1. To compare prey field communities from nets to salmon diets
2. To test whether stable isotopes of carbon and nitrogen are a good predictor of diet using a Bayesian mixing model

## Outline

- NOAA Miller/Freeman Survey (June 2010)
- Salmon Diet Composition
- Comparison Of Catch and Salmon Diets
- Stable Isotope Results
- Stable Isotope Mixing Models


# NOAA R/V Miller Freeman Survey 



## Nets Used

Methot
Nordic


Bongo


## The Nets

Opening area $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$
Area of filtering cone $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ Avg. Dist. travelled (m) Avg. Vol. filtered $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$

| Herring | Nord |
| :---: | :---: |
| 37.2 | 336 | $9.29 \quad 123$

1784 1910
16440
232085


Nordic

## Chinook and Coho Diet Analysis



## Chinook and coho diets were similar



Chinook Coho


Z77 Amphipoda
$\triangle 1 \nabla$ C. magister
$\square \square$ C. oreg/prod
奴 Copepoda
$\triangle \triangle$ Cyprid larvae ㄸㅣㅣInsecta
$\square$ Non-Cancer crab
Pteropoda
Osmeridae Sandlance juv.
Rockfish juveniles
Unid. fish

## ANOSIM

P = 0.59


## Salmon diet composition

 different than net compositions

## Stable Isotopes as Natural Tracers



Carbon atom

## Stable Isotopes in Ecological Studies

- Indicator of source production
- Ratio changes little up the food chain


## Nitrogen isotopes

- measure of relative trophic level
- changes approx. 3.4 (o/oo) per trophic level



## Stable Isotope Analysis



## Stable Isotope Analysis



Elemental analyzer coupled to a stable isotope ratio mass spectrometer

## Stable Isotope Biplot



## Stable Isotope Mixing Models: C \& N



Fry (Stable Isotope Ecology, 2006)


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\text {consumer }}=\mathrm{f}_{1} \delta^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{f}_{2} \delta^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}+\mathrm{f}_{3} \delta{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}} \\
& \delta^{15} \mathrm{~N}_{\text {consumer }}=\mathrm{f}_{1} \delta^{15} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{f}_{2} \delta^{15} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{b}}+\mathrm{f}_{3} \delta^{15} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}} \\
& \mathrm{f}_{1}+\mathrm{f}_{2}+\mathrm{f}_{3}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

—Bayesian Mixing Model (Stable Isotope Analysis in R (SIAR))

## What Data Do We Need To Use Mixing Models?



Marine Prey Constitute The Largest Proportional Prey Contribution to Both Chinook and Coho Diets


Based on $5 \times 10^{6}$ Iterations of Model for Each Species

## Conclusions

- Chinook and coho juveniles have similar diet composition
- Stable isotopes indicate that salmon have recently consumed mostly marine prey although estuarine and hatchery contributions are still evident
- Stable isotopes can be used to estimate diet proportions, but we need to take into account stable isotope turnover rates (34 days in juvenile salmon)


## Future Studies

- Sample stable isotopes along gradient in salmon from hatchery to coastal ocean, along with potential prey from each habitat
- Examine tissues (liver or blood) with faster turnover rates than muscle tissue
- Compare hatchery vs. wild or fish from different stocks
- Look at other isotopes $\left({ }^{34} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ and use in a mixing model


## Acknowledgements

- Greg Hutchinson, Marisa Litz, Steve Pacella, Andi Stephens, and Don Phillips
- Jennifer McKay
- Bonneville Power Administration
- National Science Foundation REU Program
- Funding provided by Bonneville Power Administration and Northwest Fisheries Science Center (BiOp)



## Bayes' Rule

## $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{A}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})$ P(B)

$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{B})=$ the posterior, is the degree of belief in A
P(A)
$=$ the prior, is the initial degree of belief in A
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{A})$
$=$ the quotient, represents the support B provides for A $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})$

## Bayesian Approach to Mixing Models



