# Restoration Action Effectiveness Monitoring and Research in the Lower Columbia River and Estuary: Programmatic Plan

Gary Johnson, Cindy Studebaker, and Catherine Corbett Presentation to Science Work Group June 26, 2012

#### Process

- Collaborative effort of BPA, Corps, and LCEP.
- Authors = G Johnson, C Corbett, J Doumbia, J Sager, M Schwartz, R Scranton, and C Studebaker
- Draft elements for the AEMR plan in the 2012 CEERP Strategy Report
- Building a new AEMR plan this summer for inclusion in the draft 2013 SR

### What is AEMR?

- Action effectiveness is a critical element of the CEERP adaptive management process.
- Funds for AEMR are limited and need to be spent wisely to obtain useful, cost-effective information for management.
- A programmatic approach to AEMR helps address this need.
- Regional stakeholders can implement this approach to support the Columbia Estuary Ecosystem Restoration Program (CEERP) and the broader estuary restoration effort.

### Why is AEMR Important?

- To determine the success of restoration actions at site, landscape, and estuary-wide scales in terms of habitat access/opportunity, habitat capacity and realized function.
- At a project-level, stakeholders use AEMR to adaptively manage the restoration activity.

## Goal

 Provide a plan for a programmatic approach to action effectiveness monitoring and research (AEMR) that regional stakeholders can implement to support the Columbia Estuary Ecosystem Restoration Program (CEERP) and the broader estuary restoration effort.

## Objectives

- Summarize key previous work on AEMR planning
- Explain a technical approach
- Prioritize AEMR activities -- what, when, where, and how much to monitor or research
- Organize AEMR implementation -- projects, data management, reporting, and communications.

#### **Restoration Actions**

AEMR depends on the attendant restoration actions

- Restore riparian areas (CRE 1.4)
- Create habitat by applying dredged material to beneficial use, including notching and scrape-down (CRE 6.2 and 6.3)
- Remove or modify pilings (CRE 8.2)
- Restore degraded off-channel habitat (CRE 9.4)
- Breach dikes (CRE 10.1)
- Remove tide gates or culverts (CRE 10.2)
- Upgrade tide gates or culverts (CRE 10.3)
- Control invasive plant species and plant native species (CRE 15.3)

### Indicators

- An indicator is a measurable parameter that characterizes an important aspect of the ecosystem and is sensitive to changes in the system.
- There are many potential indicators, depending on program needs and project-specific conditions, ranging over a spectrum from extensive monitoring to intensive research.
- Action-effectiveness monitoring involves spatially extensive sampling of basic restoration indicators
- Action-effectiveness research involves locally intensive sampling at restoration and reference sites to characterize ecosystem structures, processes, and functions.

all all in				CA SAR SO AS
and in the	Monitoring	Photo points	Extensive	a sport a single a
22.09	7	Latitude and longitude	7	- 0 0 0 0 0 m
11:20 00		Water-surface elevation (logger)		1 20 m - 1 20 m - m - m - m - m - m - m - m - m - m
Const Const S	i i	Temperature (logger)	i	CAR Carlos
	ł	Salinity (logger)	1	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1
and the second		Channel cross-sectional area		2
00 /AL	i i	Sediment accretion	i i	1. 0 or / 1 - 1 - 1
0.000		Elevation (bathymetry/topography)		C C C C
MARCAIL:		Catchment area		
0.0	1	Plant species comp	1	- A
and an all all all all all all all all all		Plant percent cover		and the second second
10 - AO - A	i	Plant biomass	i	0 10 00 00
1 50 5	1	Aerial photos		19 20 1/ 50 20 20
Prace and an		Fish presence/species/size		Para Processo - 9
20000	1	Fish density	1	a solo a solo
Sa Bara		Satellite imagery land cover		100 000 CO
:00 A	1	Water velocity	1	1. O. O. A
0.00	1	Water properties (DO,TOC,chloro.etc.)		600000
DOCO AND:	i	Nutrients (NH3,PO4, SiO3)		
1000	1	Fish diet	1	
an an all an		Fish residence time		Laton Stell Ann
	1	Neuston prey	1	0° 10° 0° 10°
1 50		Benthic-invertebrate prey		10-20 10-20 20
Prant Car Lag	i i	Insect fallout prey	1	Charles Calles P
200000		Fish condition (FIT)		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
10 000	i	Hyposographic curve of watersurface elevation		
:00 AS	1	Tidal exchange volume		1. 10 0 A
0.00		Image analysis		610,000
MARCAN .	1	Area-time inundation	1	a a a a a a
10000		Floodplain wetted area		
RICES SECUL	i	Wetted-channel edge length		and the state of a state
	1	Plant similarity	1	- 10 - 10 <sup>0</sup>
Mar C		Plant biomass flux		A Sale
Base a Cas	Ļ	Material flux	Ļ	Cara Cara Cara Cara Cara Cara Cara Cara
10 m 10	Research	Fish growth	Intensive	
STA JALLAND		0.00	sustant of the source of the source of the	Service and the service states

**Figure 3.** Monitored Indicators for Action Effectiveness Over the Monitoring/Research and Extensive/Intensive Spectrum (from Johnson et al. 2012)

#### **Schedule for Next Steps**

- Draft due to region July 23, 2012
- Comments due August 30, 2012
- Final due to be released October 1, 2012

## **Questions, Comments, Feedback?**

