

Columbia Land Trust

Blue Carbon Research to Establish Baseline Conditions and Inform Restoration Planning in the Pacific Northwest

Amy B. Borde, Christopher Janousek, Craig Cornu, Heida Diefenderfer, Scott Bridgham, Trevor Williams, Maggie McKeon, Matthew Brand, Katrina Poppe, John Rybczyk, Jude Apple, Boone Kauffman, and Laura Brophy

PNW Blue Carbon Working Group



PNW Blue Carbon Working Group







VERRA

WESTERN





Pacific Northwest

W

UNIVERSITY of

WASHINGTON

Aster Global Environmental **Solutions**

Bonneville Environmental Foundation **Bonneville Power Admin** CA Air Resources Board CA Department of Insurance **CA Ocean Protection Council** California Coastal Conservancy California Ocean Protection Council California Ocean Science Trust Clallam County MRC

Castalia Environmental

Columbia River Estuary Study Taskforce

Columbia Land Trust

Coos Watershed Association

Cowlitz Indian Tribe

Ducks Unlimited Environmental Science Associates Institute of Applied Ecology **Gordian Knot Strategies** Hakai Institute **High Tide Foundation ICF** Jones and Stokes Lower Columbia Estuary

NOAA

Partnership

OR State Parks Oregon Sea Grant Oregon State University OR Watershed Enhancement Board **PNW National Laboratory**

Pac. States Marine Fisheries Commission Padilla Bay NERR Parks Canada **Pew Charitable Trusts** Portland State University **Puget Sound Partnership Restore America's Estuaries** Silvestrum Climate Associates Simon Fraser University Smithsonian Environmental **Research Center Snohomish County** South Slough NERR **Terracarbon LLC** The Climate Trust The Nature Conservancy The Nature Conservancy Canada

The Wetlands Conservancy **Tillamook Estuaries Partnership OR Global Warming Commission Tulalip Tribes** U.S. EPA UC Davis University of British Columbia University of Oregon University of Victoria US Fish and Wildlife Service **US Geological Survey** VERRA/Verified Carbon Standard WA Dept of Natural Resources Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife Western Washington University









THE

CLIMATE

TRUST





 \mathbf{O}

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON





Columbia Land Trus



Tidal wetland graphic: Barbara Harmon



PNW Blue Carbon Working Group: Framework

Core objective: fill PNW blue carbon data gaps

- Geographic scope—PNW coastal area from British Columbia's Strait of Georgia to California's Cape Mendocino
- Habitat scope—PNW tidal wetland classes
- Land uses—natural and managed lands
- Link key environmental drivers to variations in blue carbon metrics—tidal inundation, channel and groundwater level and salinity, wetland elevation plant community...etc



Northeast Pacific Regional Blue Carbon Database





Geographic Scope: Canada, US, Mexico

PNW Wetland Types:

- Unvegetated tide flats
- Seagrass/SAV
- Emergent tidal marsh
- Scrub-shrub wetlands
- Forested tidal wetlands
- Former tidal wetlands converted to ag lands and restored tidal wetlands

Data Types:

- Soil carbon density and stocks
- Ecosystem drivers (e.g., elevation, salinity, plant communities)
- Soil accretion and carbon accumulation rates
- GHG emissions ...coming soon

Carbon Stock Assessment (2016-2019)

1.

2.

3.

GHG emissions?

Salinity and

Freshwater

Oligohaline

Mesohaline

Polyhaline

Euhaline

wetland class

9 estuaries

34 sites



5 sites in Columbia River Estuary



COLUMBIALANDTRUST.ORG



Forested

tidal

wetland

SEC, SES, MIS

WIN, JRS

How do carbon stocks, sequestration rates, and

How do major environmental drivers such as

salinity impact sequestration and emissions?

Emergent

marsh

SEC, MIO, KAN, MIR

MLC, JRO, SCS, SFC

FSM, DAN, FIR, JRM,

DSI, KZL, KZH, FRE,

FRR. SFC

MIL, MET, GP, MIL,

JRR, SFC

BIS

emissions vary by wetland type and land-use class?

What are the potential effects of sea-level rise on

GHG Emissions (2020-2023)

Disturbed

wetlands/

pastures

WAS, WAS2, ALD,

PBD, JRW, JRD, SFC

SAU, PBW

Least-disturbed sites;

restored sites;

disturbed/non-tidal sites

6 estuaries 39 sites



7 sites in Columbia River Estuary

Carbon Stock Methods

- At each site measured total ecosystem carbon stocks
 - Soil carbon (cores up to 3m depth)
 - Above and below-ground plant biomass
- 6 replicates per site



Kauffman et al. (2020) Global Change Biology



Carbon Stocks Results

Carbon storage capacity is greatest in forested tidal wetlands and lowest in eelgrass beds.

Virtually all carbon is stored in soils except in forested wetlands.





Accretion and Carbon Accumulation



Accretion and Carbon Accumulation



Wetland GHG Emissions

 CO_2 CH_4 N_2O 20 yr global warming potential 84 264 1 100 yr GWP 28 265 1 photosynthesis respiration Wetlands are the largest natural source of methane

Bridgham et al. 2013 Global Change Biology



GHG Flux Methods

GHG fluxes (CO_2 , CH_4 , N_2O)

- 10 min measurements • (Licor/Gasmet)
- Dark treatment (no photosynthesis) •
- 60s flushing to ambient ٠ concentrations
- Light treatment •

Environmental drivers

- Water quality: salinity, pH, ۲ temperature
- Water table •
- Biomass: species, cover, height •
- Photosynthetically active radiation • (PAR)
- Soil temperature ٠
- Soil accretion •
- Soil characteristics •
- Elevation •





Dark

Collar Extensions (to accommodate plants)



GHG Results - CO₂

PRELIMINARY RESULTS

- Dark measurements higher due to respiration
- Swamp shows very little difference due to lack of light at collars
- Wet pastures have highest median respiration





GHG Results - CO₂

PRELIMINARY RESULTS



LandUse

- Dry Pasture
- Reference Marsh
- Reference Swamp
- Restored Marsh
- Wet Pasture

- Linear regression of DARK CO2 data by environmental drivers.
- Soil temp and air temp are the two most important



GHG Results - CO₂

PRELIMINARY RESULTS

- Boosted Regression Tree (BRT) model of DARK CO2 data by environmental drivers
- Soil temp and chamber elevation (z*) are the two most important

- Next steps
 - Including soil carbon content
 - Modeling light CO2
 - Using light + dark models to annualize CO2 fluxes from year-long timeseries of environmental factors





GHG Results – Methane and N₂O



PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Nitrous Oxide (N₂O) 78 143 149 51 48 n ab b h 1e-04 a Average flux (mmol $N_2O m^{-2} min^{-1}$) emissions 5e-05 Δ 0e+00 uptake -5e-05 Swamp Reference Restored Wet Dry Marsh Marsh Pasture Pasture



GHG Results – Methane and N₂O

Methane (CH_4)

PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Nitrous Oxide (N₂O)







Conclusions

Carbon stocks

- Stocks differ by wetland type and with elevation in the NE Pacific
 - Woody wetlands > marshes > seagrass & tide flats
- Stocks can vary considerably within individual estuaries and sites
- PNW tidal swamps have high stocks, comparable to tropical mangroves

GHG emissions

- BRT model shows promise for explaining drivers and predicting flux
- Wet pastures which are low in salinity, and sometimes have high water tables, can have high CO₂ and methane fluxes
- Dry pastures can have high N₂O flux.
- Restoration of pastures to tidal influence can reduce emissions and will likely continue to decrease over time as reference conditions are restored.





Next Steps

- Complete GHG flux analysis
- Develop net ecosystem carbon balance
- Develop a regional blue carbon calculator
- Apply results to restoration and climate-change forecast modeling





Acknowledgements

FUNDING

- NOAA NERRS Science Collaborative
- NOAA ESLR program
- Pew Charitable Trusts
- Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board

ASSISTANCE

- Many blue carbon database contributors and colleagues
- Site access including SSNERR, PBNERR, Columbia Land Trust, National Park Service, Port of Astoria, City of Coos Bay, others
- Leila Giovanonni, Cailene Gunn, Nick Dunstan, James Kelly, Ian Miller, Roger Fuller, Matt Norwood and others



