Salmon Life Histories and Habitat Associations in the Columbia River Estuary, 2002 – 2011: The Effects of Prior Rearing History on Estuary Habitat Use

Daniel Bottom<sup>1</sup>, David Teel<sup>1</sup>, Antonio Baptista<sup>2</sup>, Lance Campbell<sup>3</sup>, Kurt Fresh<sup>1</sup>, Susan Hinton<sup>1</sup>, George McCabe<sup>4</sup>, Regan McNatt<sup>1</sup>, Curtis Roegner<sup>1</sup>, Charles Simenstad<sup>5</sup>, and Lia Stamatiou<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Fish Ecology Division, Northwest Fisheries Science Center, Seattle, WA
<sup>2</sup>Institute of Environmental Health, Oregon Health and Science University, Beaverton, OR
<sup>3</sup>Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Olympia, WA
<sup>4</sup>Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission, Portland, OR
<sup>5</sup>School of Aquatic and Fishery Science, University of Washington, Seattle, WA Fish Ecology Division

Northwest Fisheries Science Center

National Marine Fisheries Service

Seattle, Washington

Estuarine Habitat and Juvenile Salmon: Current and Historical Linkages in the Lower Columbia River and Estuary

Final Report 2002-2008

Daniel L. Bottom, Antonio Baptista, Jennifer Burke, Lance Campbell, Edmundo Casillas, Susan Hinton, David A. Jay, Mary Austill Lott, George McCabe, Regan McNatt, Mary Ramirez, G. Curtis Roegner, Charles A. Simenstad, Sarah Spilseth, Lia Stamatiou, David Teel, and Jeannette E. Zamon

December 2011



www.nwfsc.noaa.gov/publications/scientificpubs.cfm

### http://www.nwfsc.noaa.gov/ publications/scientificpubs.cfm

### (contract reports, 2011)









US Army Corps of Engineers ® Portland District



## Criteria for Evaluating Estuarine Rearing Conditions for Salmon





## Estuarine habitat use by juvenile Chinook is size-related

### Lord Island, Rkm 101



- Few fish in wetland channels > 70mm
- % fry decreases with depth and exposure:
  - --Main stem: 38-67%
  - --Back side: 75-76%
  - --Interior: >93%

#### Wallace and Lord islands, 2006-08



# Mean estuary residence time varies with fish size

- Subyearling Chinook enter the estuary over a wide range of sizes
- Residence time decreases with size at estuary entry
- In 2003-05 >50% of hatchery fish released at sizes >90mm FL



#### **Total Releases Columbia River Hatchery Chinook Salmon**



Data Source: Streamnet, provided by Phil Levin, NOAA/NMFS

# Percent Hatchery Clipped Chinook 2007-2008



Hatchery fish distribution varies by river mile and depth

% Hatchery Marked 2007-10 Purse Seine Catches (L. Weitkamp)		
Subyearlings	85.5%	

94.4%

Yearlings

% Hatchery Lord Island		
Lord Island	2007	2008
Main stem	11.7	22
Back side	10.4	11.0
Wetland Channel	1.0	7.0

### **Chinook Length Frequency**

(All months and habitats 2010)



Fork Length (mm)



Fork Length (mm)

**Chinook Length Frequency (marked)** 

(All habitats 2010)



### Chinook Salmon Hatchery Releases by ESU Hatchery Scientific Research Group (2009)



- ~2/3 of all hatchery releases in the basin come from two ESUs
- ~1/2 of all hatchery Chinook are released into the Lower CR ESU
- Since 2000 one hatchery (Spring Creek) accounted for ~24% (15-47%) of all subyearlings released annually

# Smolt Passage Index Bonneville Dam 2007-09



(Data from CR DART and Fish Passage Center)

# Genetic Stock Composition 2009 Hayden Island (~Rkm 169)

 Beach-seine sampling, City of Portland

 Collected by Lyndal Johnson

Spring Cr. Hatchery Releases

- April 13: 13 million
- May 1: 9.5 million



(D. Teel, NWFSC)

The phenotypic behavior of salmon in the estuary are largely a downstream expression of hatchery practices

- Hatcheries account for the majority of Chinook salmon produced in the Columbia R. basin
- Hatchery location  $\rightarrow$  time of estuary arrival
- Timing and number of fish released → temporal patterns of abundance and stock composition in the estuary, particularly in spring/summer
- Size at release → estuary residence times, estuary habitat selection, and time/size at ocean entry
- Prior rearing histories constrain life history expression in the estuary

## Conclusions

- Hatcheries have replaced the dispersed distributions and emergence times of natural populations with "point sources" of similarly-sized individuals released in concentrated pulses (April – July)
- Hatchery-reared salmon tend to enter the estuary at a larger mean size (and a narrower size range), select deeper habitats further from shore, and reside shorter periods than many naturally-produced juveniles
- But hatchery and wild salmon distributions overlap in the estuary; not all hatchery fish migrate rapidly
- Contemporary abundance patterns, stock composition, habitat use, and residency in the estuary are largely driven by hatchery programs

### **Some Key Management Questions**

- Does intensive hatchery production limit the use or effectiveness of estuary restoration projects for atrisk populations?
- How do naturally-produced and hatchery-reared salmon interact in the estuary?
- Do intensive hatchery releases alter estuarine food webs, predation pressure, or the estuary's capacity to support naturally-produced salmon?
- Based on present release levels and methods, are hatchery production and estuary restoration compatible management strategies ?

# Thanks!

Co-authors:
 Lance Campbell
 Susan Hinton
 George McCabe
 Regan McNatt
 Lia Stamatiou
 David Teel



- Lyndal Johnson and City of Portland
- Cindy Studebaker
- Sponsors
   U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
   NOAA Fisheries