Columbia River Estuary Conference – Astoria 2010

Implementation and Adaptation of the Caspian Tern Management Plan for the Columbia River Estuary: Will it Reduce Mortality of Juvenile Salmonids in the Estuary?

USGS - Oregon Cooperative Fish & Wildlife Research Unit



Oregon State University

Real Time Research, Inc.





Rice Island Caspian Tern Colony



Columbia River Estuary



1998 Caspian Tern Diet and Smolt Consumption



Estimated Consumption: 12.4 million smolts (95% CI: 9.1 – 15.7 million)









Interagency Caspian Tern Working Group





Washington Department of FISH AND WILDLIFE







Evil Quartet for the Caspian Tern/Salmonid Conflict in the Columbia River Estuary:

- Habitat: dredge spoil islands replace natural sites
- <u>Hydrosystem</u>: concentrates prey, eliminates spring freshet, enhances prey vulnerability
- Hatcheries: provides reliable naïve prey
- <u>Harvest: perception of humans vs.</u> fish-eating birds

Objective: Reduce mortality of ESA-listed smolts without lethal control of MBTAprotected waterbirds

Hypotheses:

- 1. The tern colony can be relocated without loss of tern nesting success
- 2. Tern diet composition is dependent on local prey availability
- 3. The impact of tern predation on ESA-listed salmonids will decline with increased availability of alternative prey types



Habitat Alteration, Social Attraction, and Nest Predator Control to Manage Colony Location

Desired Site:

Undesired Site:





Caspian Tern Colony Size in the Columbia River Estuary



Caspian Tern Smolt Consumption on East Sand Island



—Average (2000-2008)

Caspian Tern Colony Distribution

- East Sand Island is the largest Caspian tern colony in world
- East Sand Island supports 65% of Pacific Coast population of Caspian terns
- First recorded nesting by Caspian terns in the Columbia River Estuary in 1984
- Shift to coastal colony sites due primarily to anthropogenic habitat (dredge spoil islands)



Objective: Further reduce mortality of ESA-listed smolts without lethal control of MBTA-protected waterbirds

Hypotheses:

- 1. Caspian terns limited by available suitable nesting habitat on a regional basis
- 2. Impact of Caspian tern predation on fish of conservation concern is controllable through management of nesting habitat

3. Conservation of Caspian terns is best served by an extensive network of nesting sites, as opposed to a few large colonies (reduce risks from environmental uncertainty)

Final EIS: Caspian Tern Management Plan for Columbia River Estuary



- Develop alternative colony sites (islands)
- As alternative colony sites becomes available, reduce habitat on East Sand Island to 1 acre (5 acres available pre-management)
 - Prevent tern nesting elsewhere in Columbia Estuary
- Expected long-term Caspian tern colony size at East Sand Island about 1/3 current size
- Expected smolt losses to terns < 2 million per year

Alternative Caspian Tern Colony Sites Specified in the Management Plan



Crump Lake tern island, Warner Valley, Oregon

Caspian Tern Band Re-sightings on Crump Lake Tern Island - 2009



Re-sightings of Banded Caspian Terns

- Birds frequently recruit to colonies other than natal colony
- Adults also change breeding colonies
- Inter-colony movements can exceed 2,000 km



New Plan for Caspian Tern Nesting Islands

Year	Location	No. of islands	Total acreage
2008	Fern Ridge, OR	1	1
	Crump Lake, OR	1	1
2009	Summer Lake Wildlife Area, OR	2	1
2010	Sheepy Lake, L. Klamath NWR, CA	1	0.8
	Lower Klamath NWR, CA	1	1
	Tule Lake NWR, CA	1	2
	Summer Lake Wildlife Area, OR	1	0.5
2011	Malheur NWR, OR	1	2
	Hayward Shoreline, San Fran. Bay	1	0.5 - 1.0
	TOTAL	10	10 – 10.5

Conclusions

- Annual losses of juvenile salmonids to predation by Caspian terns in the Columbia River estuary about 5% of total run to ocean
- Suitable nesting habitat for Caspian terns and other colonial waterbirds a major limiting factor
- Impact of tern predation on fish stocks of conservation concern can be adaptively managed by controlling where suitable tern nesting habitat is available inside and outside the Estuary
- Caspian terns and other colonial waterbirds can be restored by providing a regional network of islands with suitable nesting habitat as colony sites



Acknowledgments



Oregon State University Co-authors: Don Lyons, Yasuko Suzuki, Pete Loschl, Jessica Adkins, Stefanie Collar, Tim Marcella

Real Time Research Co-authors: Ken Collis, Allen Evans

Distinguished Collaborators: Rob Suryan, Dave Craig, Lauren Reinalda, Kirsten Bixler, Anne Mary Myers,, Scott Sebring, Dick Ledgerwood, Doug Marsh, Bob Emmett, Laurie Weitkamp, David Kuligowski

Funding: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Portland DistrictBonneville Power Administration, DOEU.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Walla Walla District



Questions?

SF Bay Coded Wire Tag Research Brooks Island Caspian Tern Colony

Study Results:

 20% sample of colony substrate yielded 2,079 CWTs

 98% (n = 2,037) were Central Valley Fall-run Chinook (non-listed)

 99% (n = 2,073) were released en masse from net pens into San Pablo Bay





Caspian Tern Colony Sizes in 2009



Smolt PIT Tag Recoveries On-colony

 Portion of consumed PIT tags regurgitated on-colony

 On-colony PIT tag recoveries relative to in-river interrogations yields minimum predation rates



NOAA Fisheries - Collaborator

Caspian Tern Island Sites in the Upper Klamath Basin



Lower Klamath and Tule Lake NWRs

Diet Composition of Caspian Terns Nesting at Crump Lake - 2009



Colony Size at Crump Lake Caspian tern colony, 2009

—Average (2003, 2008)





5.95 km

Pointer 43"19'46 69" N 118'45'31 15" W

Image State of Oregon



Warner sucker: ESA-listed as threatened

- 5 suckers seen on Caspian tern colony during 2008 nesting
- 0.17% of tern diet consisted of suckers
- at least 1 sucker was a Warner sucker

